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## Introduction

- To understand how language background affects student learning in statistics
- Understanding assessment of statistical concepts for ELL students
- English language proficiency and cultural expectations are likely to affect the validity of items assessing knowledge of statistical concepts


## ELLs in Higher Education

- The population of ELLs in U.S. K-12 public schools is growing rapidly
- 1 out of 20 in 1990
- 1 out of 9 in 2005
- 1 out of 4 expected by 2028
- Most ELL students speak Spanish
- $80 \%$ of ELLs in public education speak Spanish


## ELLs in Mathematics and Statistics

- Most research in mathematics and ELLs
- Most research focuses on K-12 populations
- Growing body of research in statistics education
- Lesser and Winsor 2009 found
- ELLs' movement among everyday and academic registers
- Role of context: ELLs may find the role of context in statistics confusing


## ELLs in Mathematics and Statistics

- Lesser et al. (2013) found that ELLs
- Are more likely to experience register confusion
- Find the context of a problem difficult to understand
- Have difficulty understanding statistical vocabulary


## Method

- Sequential exploratory mixed methods model (Johnson and Onwuegbuzie, 2004)
- Instrument: collection of items assessing
conceptual knowledge of measures of center and variation from the ARTIST database
- 6 items that reflected concepts taught in introductory statistics courses
- Communication, Language and Statistics Survey (CLASS) found difficulty among ELLs with words like mean, median, and mode (Lesser et al. 2013)
- Three items for classifying participants as ELLs or nonELLs


## Research Context

- Large urban research university or a large community college system
- More than $80 \%$ of students were Hispanic
- Both located on the U.S.-Mexico border
- High population of Spanish-speaking ELLs


## Methodology

## Methodology

- Sequential exploratory mixed methods model (Johnson and Onwuegbuzie, 2004)



## Background of Students Interviewed

| Student | English <br> Proficiency <br> self-rating <br> (out of 10) | \% time <br> speaking <br> Spanish | First <br> language | ELL | Course type | Instructor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | $80 \%$ | Spanish | yes | Practitioner | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 | $10 \%$ | English | no | Practitioner | B |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 | $30 \%$ | Spanish | yes | Literacy | C |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 9 | $30 \%$ | Spanish | no | Literacy | C |

## Quantitative Results

| Item Type | Item |  | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 6 | ELL | 28 | 31 | 30 | 11 |
|  |  | non-ELL | 19 | 48 | 21 | 12 |
| Context | 7 | ELL | 29 | 33 | 38 | N/A |
|  |  | non-ELL | 20 | 35 | 45 | N/A |
| Context | 8 | ELL | 11 | 24 | 54 | 11 |
|  |  | non-ELL | 7 | 36 | 51 | 6 |
| Direct | 9 | ELL | 11 | 7 | 12 | 70 |
|  |  | non-ELL | 9 | 4 | 6 | 82 |
| Graphical | 10 | ELL | 21 | 27 | 22 | 29 |
|  |  | non-ELL | 18 | 29 | 27 | 26 |
| Graphical | 11 | ELL | 19 | 45 | 19 | 17 |
|  |  | non-ELL | 18 | 54 | 15 | 13 |
| Graphical | 12 | ELL | 16 | 34 | 33 | 17 |
|  |  | non-ELL | 10 | 30 | 32 | 28 |

## Quantitative Results

|  |  |  | Association Results | Proportion Results |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Item Type | Item | Terminology Used | P-value | P-value <br> adjusted | P-value | P-value <br> adjusted |
| Context | $6^{*}$ | Average, mean | 0.017 | 0.083 | 0.003 | 0.031 |
| Context | 7 | Distribution,skewed, | mean, | 0.180 | 0.250 | 0.910 |
| Context | $8^{*}$ | Median | 0.036 | 0.090 | 0.036 | 0.090 |
| Direct | $9^{*}$ | Range | 0.015 | 0.083 | 0.015 | 0.077 |
| Graphical | 10 | Center,spread, distribution | 0.350 | 0.440 | 0.350 | 0.500 |
| Graphical | 11 | Range | 0.160 | 0.250 | 0.160 | 0.260 |
| Graphical | $12^{*}$ | Variability | 0.028 | 0.090 | 0.028 | 0.090 |
| Direct | 13 | Range | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Direct | 14 | Average | 0.510 | 0.570 | 0.510 | 0.640 |
| Direct | 15 | Spread | 0.104 | 0.520 | 0.010 | 0.104 |

*Denotes test is statistically significant using a $10 \%$ significance level

## Qualitative Results: Context-Heavy Items

## Student 1

S1: Ok
R: Ok? Ok, so which one do you think is the answer? $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ or D ?
S1: [after a pause] probably A.
R: Probably A? So, you think that is a: half the household in the time have more than 2 children? Can you tell me a little bit about why you picked that answer?
S1: because if there is more than 2 then...I don't know that would compensate for the average and if the other half of the households have only one... I don't know it makes sense to me for the average without making any math.

Student 2
S2: You want me to give you an answer what it means?
R: Yeah, I want you to like which one do you think is the answer for the question.
[Student takes a few more seconds to look at the paper].
S2: I would say B.
R: You would say B, so how did you come up with that answer? Or what makes you think that would be the answer for this question?
S2: because they divided the total amount of children by 50
R: Aha
S2: and then there are an average of 2.2 children in the town.

## Qualitative Results: Direct Items

R: Ok. Could you go ahead and read question 9 ?
[Silence as the student reads question]
S3: [nervous smile] I forgot about range.
[Pause]
R : So, is there a confusion there with the word range?
S3: Yeah, I forgot how to calculate range.
R: Ok, so you don't remember the process how to calculate range of a data set?
S3: Yeah.
R: So, which one would you think is the answer? Like, how do you... Do you remember if there is any... like, the process when they give you a data set? Like, numbers?
S3: Oh my God, I am trying to remember. 'Cause, I remember, mean, median, mode... range is the one I am trying to remember but I can't.

## Qualitative Results: Direct Items

## R: Question 13.

[Student is reading the question]
S3: B?
R: So, do you see any relationship between this question and any other questions?
S3: Ah, yes, you were asking about range in [flipped the pages] number 9.
R: Number 9, ok. So, your answer will be B?
S3: Yeah.
R: So the difference between the highest and the lowest number in the data set.
S3: Yeah.
R: Ok, question 14.
[Student reading the question]
S3: B.
R: Ok, so B, average is defined as mean, so ok. What makes you think that's the answer for this question?
S3: Just knowing the definition of mean, median and mode.
R: So, it's a definition that you learned in class that you remembered S3: Yeah.

## Qualitative Results: Graphical Items

> R: Ok. Now, the last page. Could you read question number 12 ? S1: I think Standard deviation, because it's based on all the information. So, letter B.
> letter B.
> R: So letter B says the standard deviation; because it's based on all the information on the data set. So, why do you think this is the answer? S1: Mmm I was going to say because the other ones did not really make sense to

R: Ok, so the other ones are not really familiar? They don't... ok... S1: Aha.

## Triangulation of Findings

| Student \# 1 \| Practitioner |  |  | \| ELL | Student \# 2 \| Practitioner | Non-ELL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q6* | W/W | - | Deficiency in Cognitive Academic | Q6* | C | A | Academic and everyday register |
| Q7* | W |  | Language Proficiency | Q7* | C |  | confusion |
| Q8* | C | - | Statistical concept confusion | Q8* | C | - D | Deficiency in Cognitive Academic |
| Q9* | C | - | Misconception due to context | Q9\# | C |  | Language Proficiency |
| Q10* | C | - | Problem understanding context | Q10* | W |  |  |
| Q11* | C |  |  | Q11* | B |  |  |
| Q12* | W |  |  | Q12* | C |  |  |
| Q13* | C |  |  | Q13\# | C |  |  |
| Q14* | C |  |  | Q14* | C |  |  |
| Q15* | B |  |  | Q15\# | B |  |  |
| Student \# 3 \| Literacy | ELL |  |  |  | Student \# 4 \| Literacy | Non-ELL |  |  |  |
| Q6* | W/C | - | Deficiency in Cognitive Academic | Q6* | W | - C | Confusion between academic |
| Q7* | W |  | Language Proficiency | Q7* | B |  | and everyday registers |
| Q8* | B | - | Problem understanding context | Q8* | C | - D | Deficiency in Cognitive Academic |
| Q9* | W | - | Confusion about "intact" statistical | Q9\# | W |  | Language Proficiency |
| Q10* | C |  | phases | Q10* | C | - P | Problem understanding context |
| Q11* | W | - | Statistical concept confusion | Q11* | C | - M | Multi-modal representations |
| Q12* | B | - | Transfer between academic | Q12* | C | - M | Misconception due to context |
| Q13* | C |  | registers | Q13\# | C |  |  |
| Q14* | C |  |  | Q14\# | C |  |  |
| Q15* | W |  |  | Q15\# | B |  |  |

*=context heavy, \&=graphical, and \#=direct item types; W=wrong, $\mathrm{C}=$ correct, and $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{in}$ between; W/W=first and second attempt wrong; W/C=first attempt wrong and second attempt correct.

## Discussion

- Role of context
- Non-ELLs perform better in the context-heavy category
- Few differences in direct items
- Difficulty with statistical vocabulary
- Confusion with words such as average, range, and variability
- Delimitations and limitations
- Prior schooling, cultural expectations, and socio-economic status may also influence language proficiency
- Language proficiency test


## Recommendations for Assessment of

## ELLS

| Research Findings | Teaching Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: |
| There is evidence of ELLs confusing academic terms (such as mean and median) and everyday and academic uses of statistics terms. | Highlight vocabulary: assess participants using minute papers (Chance, 1997) describing differences between similar sounding academic words or words with both everyday and academic registers. |
| Role of context was more confusing to ELLs than non-ELLs. | Emphasize role of data-context when testing: regularly remind students of the data-context. |
| ELLs had a good working knowledge of formulas without knowing how to properly apply them. | Emphasize conceptual knowledge: Perform early low-stakes in-class assessments on the conceptual knowledge to emphasize importance. |
| Many participants may have been unable to identify the term interquartile range rather than IQR. | Make acronyms and their long-form explicit in assessments. |
| ELLs may have less familiarity with using graphics due to academic background. | Emphasize meaning and use of statistical graphics: Present these first in assessments, so it is clear that the participant is to reason using the graphics. |

## Conclusions

- Statistical ideas are communicated using language and conceptual knowledge depends heavily on this use of language
- If assessment items unduly favor one particular population over another, then it is not possible to make fair comparisons across populations
- Further research is needed to understand how all participants move from the everyday language to the academic language of a technical word used in statistics and how they interpret the datacontext of statistical problems


## Thank you! Questions?

- Angelica Monarrez, amonarrez5@utep.edu
- Amy Wagler, awagler2@utep.edu
- Lawrence Lesser, lesser@utep.edu
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