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The text of this section should be about 3-5 pages and have these items:

* A brief overview of the review process (e.g., reviewers and their qualifications, dates of self-study and site visit, etc.)
* Discussion of major items raised by the reviewers, both strengths and areas of opportunity.  Please note that it might be necessary to discuss parts of the self-study to provide a context for reviewer’s feedback.
* Action items that have been done or will be done to address feedback from reviewer(s).  If “action items” are proposed, they should identify the individual or entity that is primarily responsible for enacting the item and provide an appropriate timeframe for action (use **boldface** for sentences that have action items).
* Strategic direction(s) for the program and goals to help assess progress toward the strategic direction(s).